

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

March 27, 2000 LB 1010

and years ago. Isn't that correct?

SENATOR BAKER: That's right.

SENATOR VRTISKA: And it was sold at a very small low price. Is that correct?

SENATOR BAKER: I don't...I don't know. It was early 1900s.

SENATOR VRTISKA: Well, it was so early that I'm...I'm assuming that the land was selling at a small dollar amount because land didn't sell for that much at that particular time.

SENATOR BAKER: I would assume that, but on the other hand it was probably, at that time, fair market value. I'm guessing. I don't know.

SENATOR VRTISKA: Well, I would say you're right, it was fair market value, but the market wasn't that much and so it didn't really bring in that much money. But the question I'm trying to get to that I think is important for us all to at least pay attention to, I was looking at the costs for maintaining these programs that you've got now, and I think you have about one, two, three, four, five, six, seven, eight appraisers listed on the staff of the...will those, if this land is sold, will the number of those appraisers going to go down? Are they going to continue to keep that many appraisers? We're talking about people who are making...averaging over \$50,000 a year salaries and you've got that many of them. I'm trying to figure out what's the purpose of that many appraisers and will we, if we reduce the amount of land down, does that mean some of those appraisers are going to be gone, or what's the real purpose?

SENATOR BAKER: I would assume that they should eliminate some staff. I...that's an assumption on my part though. I...obviously, I don't think they need all these people either. That's part of the mismanagement of this entire picture here that we're looking at. In fact, I think that we were corrected on the hearing that there are actually a couple more of those people running around out there than what we had. I think they said there were 13 of them.