

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office

March 27, 2000 LB 1010

Legislature, the Clerk and the staff always do an excellent job and I owe them an apology. They were right on top of it and I wasn't. Got caught up so much in the bill that I was about to attempt to violate the rules, but I was pulled over to the curb by a very alert traffic officer and, since I never argue on the highway, I wait till I get in court. (Laughter) I'm... what was in that food they gave you all over there? (Laughter) Anyway, Senator Wickersham, don't take offense, but this is just to give a different slant to the language that you put into the bill. In what would be the Wickersham amendment, but now it's a part of the committee amendment, he uses the language "for sale purposes". I would rather have it say "for purposes of sale." And it may seem totally inconsequential, "for purposes of sale" instead of "sale purposes" in line 4. Do you see the language, Senator Wickersham? Where you would insert...

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: Senator Wickersham.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ... "for sale purposes".

SENATOR WICKERSHAM: Yes.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: And I would just have it say "for purposes of sale", and it doesn't change the sense or the meaning, but it just does it in a grammatical way that makes me more comfortable since we're drafting very careful legislation. Do you see what I'm doing?

SENATOR WICKERSHAM: Yes.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Do you object to it?

SENATOR WICKERSHAM: I'm not sure.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Oh. Well, then let me explain it. Members of the...

SENATOR WICKERSHAM: Is this...

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...Legislature, this is a grammatical change. It's a restatement of exactly the same... of the same thing. Instead of saying this, whatever it is, is being done "for sale