

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office

March 27, 2000 LB 1010

meet before you're done, but no auction ever starts out at the high price because they don't know where that's at. I don't understand the rationale for what the Bureau of Lands is doing right now and at least I think all Senator Baker is trying to do is establish a rationale for the starting basis. And so whether there's something going on here, chicanery I guess should say, maybe that's a strong word, but whether that's going on here or not it certainly looks like the...why would you start out at such a high value initially? No one is going to start a bid that way. You don't understand the nature of...or a nature of an auction if you start out it's way too high. Obviously, psychology takes a big part in an auction and that's how you end up with sometimes things being overpriced, as well as underpriced in many cases. But all Senator Baker is trying to do is establish some rationale for a starting bid and I think that makes sense, and if you use 25 times rental value that...that, obviously, is going to come out way too high. The other thing I wanted to make a comment on is if you're getting 4 percent return on the money at this point with the present values, if you raise those values to the new appraised value, let's call it, that's going to lower that percent of return even more. And I wonder if they're using those higher appraised prices for...to figure their appraised...returning their return on investment. I would doubt that they are because if it's only 4 percent now it's going to end up at 3 percent if you raise that price, let's say, five...well, not \$500 an acre in my area. It would only be in Senator Jones' area. If you said \$50 an acre, but that's going to lower your return on your investment quite a bit by raising the value of the initial price. So I can't see that what Senator Baker is trying to do is that big of a problem to at least start out. The other thing that I think ought to be commented on is if we...maybe they are on line, I don't know, but I would be highly suspect in about 2005 if they're going to be in here say you know what happens if we dump all this land now, we've got three years? It's going to drive down the market price potentially, in some areas, it will be localized I think, and they'll say we've got to have more time because we didn't get it sold. So I don't quite understand their point of view and I would be appreciative of someone trying to give me the Bureau of Lands' point of view as to why they feel they have to start this value this high, because Senator Bromm made a very good point of fiduciary