

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office

March 27, 2000 LB 1285

don't know until we get into this area and we have some experience just where this could take us or what an appropriate cap is. However, we did receive information about the caps that exist in other places. We did receive information about some fines that have been imposed in some surrounding states. New Mexico imposed a fine recently of \$1.6 million. There's been, as I understand it, an ongoing fine in Iowa that is significant. We want this fine to be a deterrent towards continuing an illegal and a harmful practice on the part of any company. Now for some companies, \$2 million would severely cripple them, would very possibly put them out of business. Would certainly put them in great disfavor with their stockholders. Other companies probably can stand \$2 million a year and it would be somewhat of a blip on the screen. However, I'll point out to you that this is \$2 million per year for each violation. So if there are numerous violations, there is potential for more than a \$2 million per year fine against a company if there are numerous violations. Some members of the industry suggested a lower figure and the Public Service Commission suggested no cap. We arrived at this figure as being one that we think is reasonable and a good place to start, considering that we're just starting the fining authority. The second shaded item, one of the items I mentioned that people had concerns with after General File was the appeal system directly into the Court of Appeals and no meaningful opportunity for a stay. This modifies that appeal system with respect to telecommunications orders to follow the Administrative Procedures Act. And I should point out that we have...

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.

SENATOR BROMM: ...slightly modified the standard for the stay from the APA because it didn't seem entirely applicable or clear with respect to telecommunications issues. So the stay order standards are the same as they were on General File. You have to find potential for irreparable damage, that the party asking for the stay is likely to prevail, and there's a third standard that I will enumerate later, but they are the same as they were on General File. We think those are fair standards and provide an opportunity for a meaningful stay. This does insert the district court in the appeal process which makes some people very happy that may be subjected to the process and for reasons