

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

March 24, 2000 LB 968

state...my county treasurer, rather, sent the check back; said, nope, you haven't paid your taxes and your 14 percent continues to run on every dime that we have not yet received payment for because I'm sending your \$1,300 check back until you send me a check for \$1,302, and I'm going to collect interest not on the \$2 but on the \$1,300 because my computer won't handle it, or, we don't have the authority to do this. Now, half of the taxes would have been 650 bucks and you and I know we regularly pay taxes in halves. They didn't deduct the one full complete payment they had, which was 650 bucks, that they could have done and send him back 650 bucks. No, they sent him back the whole check and continued to collect interest on every dime, even though he had proffered the county \$1,300. We have a relatively confiscatory penalty provision in our treasury and if you add that up to somebody who won't take payments and will send you back \$1,300 and continue to charge you interest until you come up with exactly the amount they want, it makes me say to myself, what good are these computers that we're sticking in county courthouses if they can't do this. Well, Jack Mills will tell you. His group services 60 counties and their computer program does this, could do this, and would be happy to do this. So I was calmed down by our Chairman who said, Dave, you can't require counties to do this; there are some counties who can't...don't have the technical ability; don't make this mandatory; make it voluntary; make it permissive; let the county choose for itself. If it has the means it can say yes; if it doesn't have the means it can say no. As I left the hearing my county treasurer, Richard Nuernberger, followed me to my office and said I could do this, I'd be willing to do this; my county board has said no. My treasurer said he'll do it, but Commissioner Hudkins came to a meeting last week, mentioned to the county commissioners, along with Terry Adams (phonetic), that they'd rather not be asked and have to decide whether to go yes or no. That's where the bill comes from--a guy ponying up 1,300 bucks and having the county send it back because it's \$2 short, while they continue to charge him 14 percent interest. That's wrong. Number two, it's permissive and the county can choose to do this or not, but 60 counties have the ability in their computer to do this phenomenon and my county treasurer says he'd be willing to do this, but my county commissioners, I think, have probably had something to do with the fact that there is this approach. They don't believe in it. If they