

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

March 24, 2000 LB 968

callable provision, or the choice not to have that, and that's what the second provision does. I would ask for the adoption of these ideas. They are in one amendment. They have to do with, in fact, the underlying language of LB 968, which has...which recites our funding limitations. Both of them relied on that or relate to that, and so I would ask for the adoption of this amendment and I'll be happy to answer any questions you might have.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Landis. You've heard the opening on AM3014 to LB 968. Open for discussion. Senator Stuhr, on the amendment.

SENATOR STUHR: Thank you, Mr. President and members of the Legislature. Senator Landis, may I ask you a question?

SENATOR LANDIS: Yes.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Landis, would you yield?

SENATOR STUHR: Is there a fiscal note with...with this amendment?

SENATOR LANDIS: Not that...no, not that I know of. Let me just check my notes here. Not that I know of. Understand that the savings for the city of Lincoln in its coming bonds I don't think would show up. Maybe it is. Here's a green...here's a pink sheet. Let's see if it says something. Oh, it says zero zero zero zero. That's...so there is no state expenditure cost for either of these that I know of.

SENATOR STUHR: All right. That...that was...that was just a question, so thank you very much. That was my concern.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Stuhr. Senator Don Pederson.

SENATOR D. PEDERSON: Senator Landis, I have a question concerning this project on F Street, and I guess what I'm concerned about is we had another proposal that you participated in before the Appropriations Committee which was the Capitol or Centennial Mall project. I know you're...you know I voted