

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office

March 24, 2000 LB 1399

It does not come from the Governor in this particular instance. It will be our decision, and by keeping it in statute, we can look to it next year. I would have liked to have done it this year, but we just are limited in what we have budgetarily to spend. And, therefore, I think the loan forgiveness program is one that we absolutely have to get funded this year. And this one, we'll just have to wait. It's like all our other priorities; some things we can do immediately, some things we can't. But I disagree with Senator Raikes. I think one of the things that I heard in serving on the task force statewide on the teacher retention issues, and this, that, this to me is not just a salary item. This is bigger than that in that it is...shouldn't be part of just a salary discussion. I don't mean small (inaudible), now I'm tripping over my own words. I don't mean that the salary problem is not as big but this, to me, is one that helps us retain quality teachers and helps us reward quality teachers. It's different, and I think we've hashed it out. We've talked about it. We've looked at what other states have done. There has been a lot of work already done on this and that it can stay as it is in statute, and if someone wants to amend it next year, we can. But let's not take it out. Let's show that faith that we have in the program, it's just that we can't afford it this year. So let's keep it in statute to show the teachers, the potential teachers that we hope to get to it fairly soon, but because of budget constraints this year, we aren't able to. And with that, I would urge you to vote for the amendment.

SPEAKER KRISTENSEN: Senator Bohlke.

SENATOR BOHLKE: Thank you, Mr. President and members. I think it's only fair to set the record straight as far as any discussion, Senator Suttle alluded to, any discussion with the Governor. The Governor has never told me that he was going to veto LB 1399. At the...when I introduced three bills, the Governor and I discussed those three bills, LB 1399 being one of them. He said he would be supportive of that. Since the Thompson amendments were added, that changes the A bill on LB 1399, and I have not had a discussion with the Governor. There were rumors the possibly that would be up for a veto, but I think that's probably anyone who has a bill with a significant A bill to it. So it was under that discussion, really, that