

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office

March 23, 2000 LB 936

part-time thing or a seasonal thing, but it technically you're...you do have livestock in an area where you normally don't. That's the only place that I would see that this would fit. But, for the sake of the bill, I don't suppose it hurts to take it out until somebody runs into trouble.

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: Thank you, Senator Wehrbein. Senator Schmitt, following by Senator Wickersham, Matzke, and Chambers.

SENATOR SCHMITT: Yes, Mr. Lieutenant Governor, members, I just come up with a couple questions there listening to Senator Chambers. I wasn't sure exactly what he was trying to do there when he was talking about these pens and whatever. So the question kind of arose in my mind and I guess maybe I'll address this to Senator Matzke first, see if I can get the answer...

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: Senator Matzke.

SENATOR SCHMITT: ...and get this clarified. Under this bill would these large hog confinements qualify for the tax exemption?

SENATOR MATZKE: No. No, Senator Schmitt, livestock operations are excluded.

SENATOR SCHMITT: They're excluded completely.

SENATOR MATZKE: From the bill. This is not...

SENATOR SCHMITT: Even with what Senator...

SENATOR MATZKE: ...this is not meant to be an incentive bill for livestock operations.

SENATOR SCHMITT: Okay. Well, I was trying to read that and I was trying to follow the amendment and I was looking at my gadget here and was trying to follow what Senator Chambers was trying to take out here and came to my mind there that if they were confined maybe they would be exempt and that was the reason I asked that question, because questioned whether that should be or not. So okay, thank you.