

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

March 23, 2000 LB 936

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: Senator Raikes, would you...

SENATOR RAIKES: Yes.

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: ...yield to a question?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Senator Raikes, can you help make sense of this for me, this language as it exists now?

SENATOR RAIKES: Let me try. I think the phrase would modify pens. That might make sense to me, and there might be times in which you'd have a livestock operation that used pens part of the year and the other part of the year that same area that was in the pen was used to produce a crop.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: I think it modifies all three of those words.

SENATOR RAIKES: Okay.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Because the three are taken as a package--buildings, lots, or pens.

SENATOR RAIKES: Okay, and I understand by the sentence construction what you're saying. I guess...I guess thinking about...

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Okay, well, let's...

SENATOR RAIKES: ...my interpretation of what it might mean, it would make more sense to modify "pens".

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...let's take it your way where we're talking about the pens only.

SENATOR RAIKES: Okay.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: What is a pen that would be large enough for raising crops or vegetation?

SENATOR RAIKES: Well, depending on the crop or vegetation, anything more than, say, one acre.