

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

March 23, 2000 LB 936

appreciate the time. The answer to the question that you were asking Senator Quandahl is that the language is necessary because, if the language is not there, then the employees, if the company chooses to lease employees as a means of conducting their business, then they could not qualify those employees for purposes of the program and receive the incentives that are in the bill, without the language.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Because...

SENATOR WICKERSHAM: They could lease...they could lease employees, but they would not be qualified employees, under other terms in the bill. It is only with the language that you see in the committee amendment that those folks become qualified employees, and the company that actually is their ultimate hirer would be eligible for benefits.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Thank you, Senator. I still want to strike this language, Senator Matzke still agrees. We have a good distance to go on this bill and there are amendments being prepared relative to benefits. I'd like to strike this. If we adopt the other language where benefits are part of the bill, then put this in, but I don't want language in this bill that suggests to employees that somehow they're going to get benefits from these companies. This language does not insure benefits to anybody. There are other things in the bill, based on the language, that can convey a false impression of what this bill is about. I still think we ought to strike it. Then, if further down the line we adopt...

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: One minute.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...some language relative to benefits, then we can put this language in. So, however arrangement has to be entered into or whatever arrangement to make those benefits available cheaper but the same quality, I'd be in favor of it. But, Senator Wickersham, what I'm really, and not a question, what I'm really trying to avoid is a bill that seems to offer what in fact it is not offering. I am concerned about employees, but I want to be sure that what we put in the bill is something that is going to be delivered under the terms of the bill and not if some other contingency occurs which is left