

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

March 23, 2000

LB 936

earlier, offer amendments where I think if the amendment would be adopted it would make the bill less objectionable. But if there is no great objection then I'm not going to say anymore. I will listen to yours and see if I need to comment further.

SENATOR MATZKE: We had a bill last year that was passed by the Legislature that dealt with leasing. It was very restrictive. It only applied to full-time employees leased year-round. And my understanding is, I looked into this since you brought up the issue, because I think it's an issue that needs to be examined and I'm glad you brought it up this morning, gave me a chance to look at it. My understanding is only one case where this is used, and it involves a large company in this state. I'll tell you the name of it, if you want to know. But that large company entered into a joint venture with three other companies to build a plant. And the large company wanted to protect its own employees. So this joint venture doesn't really have any employees, it just leases all of its employees from the main company that started this joint venture. It was designed that way and the bill was enacted last year to actually protect those employees and their fringe benefits and their retirement rights with their original employer. Now, I think you're right, it probably is never going to be used in these smaller counties that we're talking about. So I think probably..well, you're right, this part could be eliminated from the bill and it wouldn't hurt the purpose of the bill.

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: Thank you, Senator Matzke. You have about five minutes left, if you would like to use it, Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Thank you. Mr. President, this will constitute all I'll say on this amendment, since Senator Matzke agrees, and I did rack my brain over the noonhour to see where it would apply, and I didn't see any place. Even though my objection was based on things I said this morning, I hope you will vote to eliminate this. I do have another amendment coming up. And in order that you can locate it and put on your thinking caps, it would be some language on page 5, where we're talking about a livestock operation. That's what I will be dealing with on my next amendment, but I'm not going to go into it now. I hope you will adopt this amendment. Then my next one