

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

March 23, 2000 LB 936

80 percent in my left hand, 20 percent in my right hand; 80 percent that is in my left hand is food prepared for immediate consumption; 20 percent in my right hand is not prepared for immediate consumption. Under the language of the bill, since more than 80 percent is not for immediate consumption...there's not more than 80 percent for immediate consumption, but only 80 percent or 79 percent, then I qualify, because the only business dealing in food preparation and sale that is disqualified is one where more than 80 percent is for immediate consumption. So, if I sell frozen products, those are not for immediate consumption; if I sell uncooked products, that is not for immediate consumption. I can have a combination operation and qualify under this bill. So what is it that they're trying to say? They don't really know, they took boilerplate language and put it here and can say I don't know what that means, you've lost me. I'm reading from the language of the bill. Another point, if all that is involved here is the production of jobs, but before anybody can get approval, they have to...

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: One minute.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...go before this board. The board can make a judgment as to whether this operation should get this money. They're not mandated to grant the money to every applicant, unless I'm misreading the bill. And Senator Matzke on his time can tell me if that's incorrect. But they can make evaluations. And if I, a billionaire, can qualify under this bill with these low thresholds, why should not McDonald's? It doesn't make sense to me based on what the thrust of the bill is supposed to be, not concerned about businesses but concerned about jobs.

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: Thank you, Senator Chambers. Senator Smith, on the Chambers amendment.

SENATOR SMITH: Thank you, Mr. President. I certainly still rise in support of the bill and I'm trying to hash over the issues relating to this amendment. And I think about the efforts of rural Nebraska to capitalize on tourism. Tourism is based on hospitality and, as we may all too well know, that hospitality is often times based on food. And to exclude say a rural ranch that meets the criteria, creates jobs, and creates