

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

March 23, 2000 LB 936

And I don't think that this amendment is necessary and I don't think it's advisable. So I would urge you to oppose this amendment when we ultimately vote on it.

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: Thank you, Senator Matzke, for...

SENATOR MATZKE: (Inaudible) ...time left, and you want to address a question to me, I'd be happy to respond.

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: About a minute and ten seconds, Senator.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Thank you. And that will give me an opportunity to ask Senator Matzke a question. And thank you, Senator Matzke, for the opportunity. Senator Matzke, is there any means test for anybody else who would seek qualification under this bill? Could an independently wealthy individual decide to...

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: One minute.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...start a business and he or she be allowed to do it, regardless of what their assets are?

SENATOR MATZKE: No, there's no...there's no asset test.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: So, somebody could have assets of over a billion dollars and qualify under this bill, the way it's written.

SENATOR MATZKE: If you've got a billion dollars and want to open a business in Sidney, we'll welcome you.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Then why not McDonald's? Why does it make any difference who is giving the job, if jobs are what we want?

SENATOR MATZKE: Because it's really not necessary for the economy of this state to grant incentives to the fast-food industry. They're very highly profitable, very well, they already have enough incentives. And that's a market situation for them, and the bill, and none of the commercial or industrial incentive bills are set up to try and...