

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

March 23, 2000 LB 936

argue, well, no, that's not what it means, then we have to say we have ambiguous language here and nobody knows for sure what it means. So I'm just going to clean that area of the field up by saying all of that language is stricken. I have gone to some little towns in Nebraska, believe it or not, and in some of those towns the only significant operation, enterprise, establishment, business, or however it is to be designated, is a restaurant. So if you want to save these little towns and the only significant viable business is a restaurant, that...that one goes out of business. That one does not count. Unlike what Senator Brashear, the "Silver Fox", said about producing businesses that employ people to be the recipients of wages, we mean certain businesses and not necessarily those that would be most useful or needful in a community, so strike all of the restaurants. They're out. Senator Wickersham pointed out the level of investment that would be necessary for a restaurateur to qualify. Well, if somebody is willing to make an investment of that amount in an area, why not let the person roll the dice in the restaurant business as you allow businesses of any other kind, with a few exceptions, to roll the dice? Why do we favor some and exclude others? The rationale for that has not been made clear to me so I'm going to offer amendments to strike various provisions from the bill so I can have explained to me why things are in it. I think what happened is that somebody in some part of this building, other than the Legislature, got together some people and said, put some boilerplate language in a bill so that I can say I'm going to energize the rural economy and throw it in, and nobody over there is going to read it, and Chambers is so busy with this other bad legislation he won't have time to read it. But he reckoned without being aware of the dynamics of the Legislature's operation. This is not a well-constructed bill. It is not well-written, it has not been well-thought-out, but we are going to have a discussion of it and I'm going to force that discussion. If there is no objection to striking the language that I propose to strike we don't have to spend much time on this amendment. Otherwise, I'm going to ensure that we have that discussion and I want Senator Dierks, Senator Jones, probably Senator Coordsen to be looking at another exempted operation, and that would be at the bottom of page 4, going to the top of page 5, and it talks about a livestock operation and defines or describes the kind of operation which is exempted from coverage of this bill. I'm