

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office

March 23, 2000

LB 936

possibly eventually getting to the point where we can actually use these models to help design proposals. What I want to suggest to you is that in the form of a minimum standard, and I'm getting off the track here a little bit, admittedly, but my concern is that you might have a program that...or might describe a program, which I'm going to describe in just a second as a rural development program, and then it would be a fair statement to say that if you can't have something better than that program, in terms of results, then probably you shouldn't go ahead with it, with the program. And let me suggest such a proposal, it would be this. You would...you would station someone in the Department of Economic Development and offer them this task. Give them the phone book for each of the 70 counties that might be impacted by this bill and have them randomly choose names out of the phone book. And these people would be called and asked one question, was your federal adjusted gross income on your last return \$30,000 or more? If the answer was yes, you say thank you and hang up. If the answer is no, you say, fine, I'm going to send you a check for \$1,000. I think, although the Fiscal Office didn't analyze this program, I think this program would result in an economic development result for greater Nebraska that would be at least as good as the one proposed here. One way it would have an advantage is that the state sales and income tax dollars would be going to lower income people who have more of an propensity to consume it and, thus, would be more likely to stimulate their local economy. Now I'm not advocating that as a program. All I'm suggesting is, is that if you don't have a program or proposal that works at least that well or better than that, I don't think it's a proposal that we should probably go forward with. Thank you.

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: Thank you, Senator Raikes. Senator Wickersham, on the Beutler amendment.

SENATOR WICKERSHAM: Mr. President, members of the body, in the context of the discussion, not necessarily in the context of Senator Beutler's amendment, I wanted to make a couple of remarks about the analyses that were prepared by the Fiscal Office. There were, in fact, two different kinds of analysis prepared. One of them was a static analysis that is, I want to make sure, the IMPLAN analysis. That is available to you if you're interested in it. I don't think that the analysis is