

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

March 22, 2000 LB 171

accept Senator Beutler's amendment to decrease the exemption by half. In order to make that acceptable I think to the smaller broadcasters because they're the ones that are going to wait and try and save their money for as long as they can, they need an additional period of time to make those purchases and give them some flexibility. That's the reason I put down 2006. What my amendment does is accept the Beutler amendment and give them to the year 2006 for their exemption. Now some may say at first glance, well, hold it, you just give them more...there's just going to be more things purchased. There is a limited amount of things they're going to purchase because we've already got that tied down. It's not like you're going to give them more time to buy more things. It's still the same amount of purchases. What you've done is just given them more flexibility and given them a period of time to purchase that. And the smaller companies are going to wait because they can save their money till the end and make the changes at the end. The bigger ones are probably going to do it right away. So this is my...what I would call a compromise. At this point, it's important to me. I would not accept the Beutler amendment without my amendment to the amendment. If my amendment is adopted and the Beutler amendment is adopted, or I would plan to vote for the Beutler amendment if my amendment is put on, I would hope that the supporters of the bill would see fit that at some point in time you've got to cut your fiscal expenditures. This is going to cut it in half. This isn't what they want. Obviously, where they came in and all the radio people wanted it, it was a fuller exemption. Again, this would be a refund. It's not an exemption. And I would suggest that this is a way of accepting it, and I would hope that you all would accept that as well. My amendment just lengthens it out to 2006. If you want to make, and I don't know if Senator Wickersham is listening, there's a three-year period to file for the refund. We can cut that down. I would be amenable to a period of time after the ending date in 2006 to do that. But this amendment, because of time, I think it's something we need to do to try to move this legislation. I'm willing to work with Senator Beutler and accept his half as long as he would allow and the body would accept an additional period of time for those people to file for those refunds. Again, it won't cost any more total money, just gives them more flexibility to wait a little longer to do it. Thank you.