

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office

March 22, 2000 LB 171

say that the system is in good condition. There will be questions about any of the exemptions that can be asked and not satisfactorily answered if the purpose of a tax system is to spread the burden or cost of government throughout society and have those able to contribute do so. But the exemptions usually are given to those who are most able to contribute and don't want to so they get the exemptions. Two words as to why this bill has gotten as far as it has--Walter Radcliffe. This is not a bill that ought to go. I'm shocked at my friend Senator Kiel. I didn't know she voted for this bill. Now when she's out there on the trail trying to get people in my district to vote for her, she's going to have to tell them and I will, too, and I've supported her, and there's a black guy running against her, but he's nutty. He stuck a big old American flag on his handout and he's giving that to black people and saying vote for me because I put on the flag, stand behind America. I mean he doesn't even know how to campaign among those whose vote he is trying to get. But in fairness I have to tell them Senator Kiel is in favor of giving tax breaks to these big shots. I didn't know that had been her position, but I have to be frank because I had told her that I would support her, and this doesn't mean I won't support her, but I have to be fair about that. And I just wonder what would happen when the really big, big shots come to you in Washington and say, give me a tax break and let those people in Ernie's district make up for what I don't want to pay. I understand my good friend Senator Hilgert, although he doesn't have an opponent, is for the bill and he comes from south Omaha. His district is similar to mine. And he's been keeping a low profile and hasn't said anything, but now that I've kind of pulled the cover off, I'd like to hear him explain why he would give this kind of break to those people who don't need it. And we cannot even get before this body a provision to break up the discriminatory way that automobile insurance is charged to our constituents. We're not going to have KMTV, KETV, WOW TV, KHAS, KNOP and all the rest of them say do the fair thing, insurance industry. No. They will not do that. They will not say that. But those of us who are fighting for a constituency on these issues will turn around and say we're going to give them a tax break. How can that be rationalized? It doesn't make sense to me. What I need to do from now on probably is look at who votes which way and not just the number of votes. Now there are occasions when I have done that, at least one occasion (laugh)