

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

March 22, 2000 LB 171

This is the government telling an industry you must cease doing business as you do it today by a certain date and, if you want to continue, you must continue in this fashion, no exceptions. There is a cutoff and it is similar to the example of the truck where they would tell the trucking industry you can no longer use diesel fuel, you must use a battery. There's a huge distinction here, and that's what's so difficult about this. We all dislike federal mandates. I don't know anybody in this Chamber who stands up and says hooray for federal mandates. But it's the uniqueness of this particular one, Senator Matzke, that is so difficult for them to meet. That's the purpose for this limited refund procedure. They have equipment that they may have bought two years ago that they were going to capitalize over a number of years in terms to pay off when they borrow money. All of a sudden...

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: One minute.

SPEAKER KRISTENSEN: ...that...those items are no longer going to be useful. They're going to have to buy new equipment again. The issue is can they and should they have some relief, and that's what this is, is relief from that mandate. This is the answer. I think it's reasonable, and I'd yield back the time to you to respond.

SENATOR MATZKE: Senator Kristensen, is that your final answer?

SPEAKER KRISTENSEN: It depends if you're going to vote on the bill or not.

SENATOR MATZKE: (Laugh) Thank you.

SPEAKER KRISTENSEN: Thank you.

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: Thank you, Senator Matzke. Senator Jones on the Wickersham amendment.

SENATOR JONES: Mr. President, members of the body, it seems like we're talking on about everything except Senator Wickersham's amendment right now. But, anyway, I do stand to oppose it because I don't think that would be quite fair to do one part of the state and not the other, and I think it should