

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

March 22, 2000 LB 953, 1092

the advancement to E & R and engrossing. Thank you.

SPEAKER KRISTENSEN: Heard the question. All those in favor of advancing LB 953 to E & R for engrossing say aye. Those opposed say nay. It is advanced. We next move to LB 1092.

CLERK: Mr. President, LB 1092, Senator Smith, I have Enrollment and Review amendments, first of all, Senator. (AM7225, Legislative Journal page 1037.)

SPEAKER KRISTENSEN: Senator Smith, you're recognized for a motion.

SENATOR SMITH: Mr. President, I move the adoption of E & R amendments to LB 1092.

SPEAKER KRISTENSEN: Question before the body is the adoption of the E & R amendments. All those in favor say aye. All those opposed say nay. They are adopted.

CLERK: Mr. President, Senator Wehrbein would move to amend the bill. AM2802, Senator. (AM2802, Legislative Journal page 1082.)

SPEAKER KRISTENSEN: Senator Wehrbein, you're recognized to open on your amendment.

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: Yes, Mr. Speaker and members of the body, thank you. This is a simple amendment and I am confident it's a friendly amendment with Senator Robak. It simply adds a statement to say that the report shall include an analysis of whether or not a state meat inspection program is feasible, meaning that there is an interest among processors and producers to use the program, and the program would provide economic benefit to the state. And this is a key addition really. If the analysis...if the analysis concludes that the state meat inspection program is not feasible the pilot program shall not be initiated. It's really to assure, make it more clear in the wording, that if there is...appears to be no feasibility to having a pilot meat inspection program that it will be terminated and not...not be extended out. And I...I just wanted to make clear in my mind that if it was not going to work, we're