

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

March 21, 2000 LB 1124, 1217

SPEAKER KRISTENSEN: ...that could be.

SENATOR RAIKES: ...at least some of it to go there.

SPEAKER KRISTENSEN: There could be some growth because you could have...I mean those are going to fluctuate year to year. Particularly some of the capital stuff could fluctuate. Senator Wickersham talked about in the growth area. Some of that could fluctuate, yes. There could be an up or down.

SENATOR CROSBY: Time. Thank you, Senator Kristensen. Senator Matzke, on the Raikes amendment.

SENATOR MATZKE: Thank you, Madam President and members of the body. I want to compliment Senator Raikes on his new policy which is adverse to herky-jerky budgeting. I think that's a principle that we can all agree upon, although I'm going to stand in opposition to his motion for amendment here. I think if we look at this year when we convened in this session, there was considerable interest in tax reduction. A number of different alternative bills were introduced. The Revenue Committee held long hearings and spent a lot of time analyzing various approaches to reduce property taxes. The end result is that we have a bill, LB 1124, which is going to have a study. So we go to the public and I think the public expects results and not reversals, and my position in supporting the full \$30 million for community colleges in this budget in the appropriations discussions was to avoid just the thing that Senator Raikes is talking about. We don't want herky-jerky budgeting. We've endangered the public support for state school aid by a formula that jumps up and down. I wouldn't say it's herky-jerky but it does...it does go up and down and disappoint a lot of people. I think one of the important factors that was brought out in the Appropriations consideration of this issue was that one of the members of the committee said that in Broken Bow she was approached by constituents there who said that this is the first time they could actually look at their property statement and see some property tax relief when the \$30 million was budgeted for the community colleges and their levy dropped. And I think that the public expects that we're not going to give them any real property tax relief through changing fundamental legislation this session. I think that we must hold faith with