

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

March 21, 2000 LB 1124

this may be the first time that we've tied an opportunity to use a method of valuation into the requirement that the land or property be subject to zoning. And I don't know that to be a fact, but when you have a chance you can comment on whether that is in fact, to your knowledge, the first time that we have done that. Secondly, another issue, and I'm not going to offer amendments on General File on this, Senator Coordsen. I...I want your bill to move and...but I am going to be looking at a couple of these things between now and Select File.

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: One minute.

SENATOR BROMM: The other issue is that if I, in fact, have greenbelt land and if, in fact, it has been valued as such for agricultural purposes, and let'r just say that that value is \$1,200 an acre, and if, in fact, somebody comes along and offers me \$2,500 an acre, and I can assure you that's not unusual, I don't know that I should not recapture three years of taxes. If I'm going to capitalize on that market value, which is substantially higher than the greenbelt value, I'm not sure that I shouldn't recapture that even though that land is going to still be used for agriculture, because I have received the benefit of that greenbelt valuation. Whether the purchaser of that land changes zoning and tries to construct a subdivision, or whether...

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: Time.

SENATOR BROMM: ...they farm it, it has brought substantially more value than I have paid taxes on. Thank you.

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: Thank you, Senator Bromm. Senator Coordsen.

SENATOR COORDSEN: Thank you, Mr. President, members of the body. First to the zoning question, while it...I think it would be a true statement to say that this is the first time that...no, it's not really a true statement. I think that what we currently call the greenbelt statutes were, what, enacted in something like 1983 and they were voluntary on the part of the county and they have remained voluntary on the part of the county. What has happened is that some counties are trying to