

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

March 21, 2000 LB 1124

trying to understand why it is necessary to depart from that definition and...and require counties to be zoned. Now understand that I generally support zoning and have spoken in favor of zoning and have encouraged counties to become zoned, so I'm not against zoning. But, for whatever reason or reasons, there are counties who find it cumbersome, unnecessary, whatever, and undesirable to become zoned and I don't understand why their land, if it's clearly horticultural or agricultural, should possibly be viewed differently whether the county has chosen to be zoned or not. Can you give me some...some rationale for...

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: One minute.

SENATOR BROMM: ...for that?

SENATOR COORDSEN: Just a second. I have punched my light on, Senator Bromm, in case we don't have time to pick this up. What you...what you read was, in fact, an accurate provision, but the reason that this was chosen in this manner is because the other section of the constitution that referenced all agricultural land and is based too upon 1359, both...1359 has a dual constitutional roots. The other one requires that all agricultural land be valued in a manner that is uniform and proportionate, so it can be different from anything else. And where the problem comes in isn't necessarily 1359 but it is in the growth and implementation of our revenue system or land valuation system over time.

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: Time. Senator Bromm, did you punch your light on after I recognized you? Senator Bromm, you're recognized.

SENATOR BROMM: When I'm speaking with Senator Coordsen, it's unlikely that we're going to get done in one...one light pushing, so I generally push it again. So I...Senator Coordsen, if you would oblige, I would like to continue to discuss this with you.

SENATOR COORDSEN: Well, whoever...whoever's light is on, Senator Bromm, we'll use their time.