

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

March 16, 2000 LB 916, 1436

had a bill, LB 916, that dealt with the grey cigarette tax issue. Grey cigarettes are those cigarettes that come into the country, are sold cheap because there is less, if any, tax paid for those products. If there's...if there exists an incentive for young people and others to smoke, it's to be able to get the product cheap. And, of course, it would not be fair and I wouldn't want to jeopardize this bill. I did tell Senator Bohlke I'd like to talk about it is all, and it won't take but a minute. But these cigarettes, you see the signs all over Lincoln, you'll see them in other towns as well, cigarettes - cheap. I won't go into all the details about where they come from or how they even get here, but you all know that that exists. We may or may not address this issue again next year. However, the reason I introduced LB 916 was to draw attention to it and to have in legislation somehow or other in the statutes of the state of Nebraska a reason to address that tax issue. If that tax was collected, that cigarette tax was collected, how it could be used would be interesting, but one of the ways would be for the purposes addressed in Senator Bohlke's and others bill. I want to mention that to you. I'm not going to offer it as an amendment. And, of course, the bill will die after this session, but we may want to revisit it again next year, and I would just alert all those of you who are interested in this issue and a resolution to it to keep grey cigarettes in your mind.

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: Thank you, Senator Lynch. Senator Tyson, on the Jensen amendment.

SENATOR TYSON: Thank you, Mr. President. Members of the body, Senator Byars voiced some concerns and concluded reluctantly that he could support this amendment. The concerns that he addressed are very much my concerns. And, while I realize that there's a lot of different roads to reach a single destination, I am going to have to vote against the amendment, and if the amendment becomes the bill, I'm going to vote against the bill. The concerns are that, as we disturb the original intent of this body, we invite additional attempts. The original purpose of establishing the fund was to derive an ongoing source of income from which research could be done and grants could be made. I think that is a laudable goal. I think that's what we should stick to. I believe probably my judgment would be that the