

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

March 16, 2000 LB 1004

state, so I don't have to go beyond my personal knowledge.

SENATOR BROMM: Um-hum.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: There has to be a utilization of the means available to determine that.

SENATOR BROMM: Right.

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: Time.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: And I do have my light on.

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: (Visitors introduced.) Senator Chambers, further discussion on AM2740.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Mr. President, members of the Legislature, I think this round robin discussion is good. And I would like to ask Senator Kristensen, if there are any additional thoughts that he has developed as a result of the back and forth exchange?

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: Senator Kristensen.

SPEAKER KRISTENSEN: Thank you. Senator Chambers, the one that comes to mind is, basically, when you ask...it's a double-edged sword. If you're concerned about the defendant, and he thinks the prosecutor has not acted correctly, what he's really kind of put into force to do is to say, look harder and find other convictions of mine. That's a...that's a tough, tenuous place to place a defendant. And does he, if there's been a wrong, does he have an adequate remedy to right the wrong? Now, I understand the officer of the court, but it also talks about investigating agency. But the question I would have would be, this form, and you've talked about it in general terms on the record here, is it just can't be a blanket one-page or one-sentence, I have no personal knowledge. For example, in the adoption area, when we ask to do a background check, you admit as part of evidence the request letter that you sent in for the background check and then the background check itself. We've not prescribed that here, but that would be the type of thing that we're looking at in that affidavit would be...