

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

March 15, 2000 LB 968

than the one across the line and there's nothing I can do about this.

SENATOR WICKERSHAM: That's...that's what I think the current state of the law is.

SENATOR RAIKES: Okay. So I was trying, by that, to simply highlight the problem, that it is in fact a problem. We have these kinds of situations that occur and there's no...there's nothing in current law that is there to address it. Okay, so the second question. Now why is it that it would be that the land in Saunders County might be \$500 per acre higher than that in Lancaster County, greenbelt values I'm talking about?

SENATOR WICKERSHAM: Well, that might have occurred for a variety of reasons. It may occur because of the methodologies used by the local assessors to determine the greenbelt value. There may, in fact, be other reasons for the differentials in value. You might have those kind of differentials in value for property at full market value as well.

SENATOR RAIKES: But in that case, the full market value case, then there is a mechanism in place to address them.

SENATOR WICKERSHAM: That's correct.

SENATOR RAIKES: Okay. But you mention the way the assessor in each county determined the greenbelt value. Now, is there a common method used between counties in determining that value?

SENATOR WICKERSHAM: Not at the moment.

SENATOR RAIKES: Not at the moment. Now, is there something that...well, let me ask you this question then. Suppose you're on the TERC board and this case comes before you, \$500 more and right across the county line, clearly this is not right. How do you resolve this?

SENATOR WICKERSHAM: We think that under current law they might not have jurisdiction to resolve it.

SENATOR RAIKES: Okay. Under LB 968, how would this be resolved?