

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

March 15, 2000 LB 968

you have the multicounty taxing authorities. Now the tax shift...the other tax shift that will occur as a result of greenbelt is, of course, in your own political subdivision...

SENATOR BEUTLER: Right.

SENATOR WICKERSHAM: ...if you have those lands valued less than market value and everybody else is at market value. But that's...that's a long established impact and we're...

SENATOR BEUTLER: Okay.

SENATOR WICKERSHAM: ...but we were concerned about equalization between counties because, as more and more counties used greenbelt it was necessary to bring coherence and uniformity and equalization to that process, at least that was our view.

SPEAKER KRISTENSEN: Time.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Yeah. That makes sense. Thank you.

SPEAKER KRISTENSEN: Senator Raikes.

SENATOR RAIKES: Thank you, Mr. Speaker, members of the Legislature. Senator Wickersham, a couple questions for you on...as you were talking about the greenbelt provisions. In particular, I'm interested in highlighting what changes are being made. Now suppose currently we have two zoned counties, Lancaster and Saunders, for example, "greenbelted" land in each county, and let's take sections right across the road from each other on the county line. Now currently...under current law say the greenbelt value of the land in Saunders County is \$500 higher than the greenbelt value of the land right across the road in Lancaster County and there's no obvious differences. They're both...how, under current law, is that sort of a situation reconciled?

SENATOR WICKERSHAM: It isn't.

SENATOR RAIKES: It isn't. There's no way that the...I assume the landowner in Lancaster County wouldn't be complaining but the one in Saunders might and my greenbelt value is \$500 more