

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

March 15, 2000 LB 87, 271, 881, 968

advanced to General File. I do have committee amendments, Mr. President.

SPEAKER KRISTENSEN: The Chair of the Revenue Committee, Senator Wickersham, you're recognized to open on the bill.

SENATOR WICKERSHAM: Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Members of the body, LB 968, in the green copy of the introduced bill, does a couple of things. Principally, it is a cleanup of activities based on legislation from last year. You'll see changes in the bill involving policies that we set in LB 271, policies that we set in LB 87 and LB 881. Now, it does a couple of other things as well, but principally if you put in mind those past pieces of legislation, that will orient you to most of what appears in the green copy of the bill. The changes that are associated with LB 87 are, perhaps, the simplest to think about. LB 87 was a bill that created a new possibility for working within the interlocal cooperation concept. It created the possibility for something called a joint public agency. There are a couple of things that we needed to do now for joint public agencies that we missed last year. We need to enable public libraries to participate in the joint public agency enterprises if they wish to and also activities under the Voluntary Emergency Responders and Recruitment Act that was passed by Senator Hartnett. And we needed to make a change in the open meetings law so that if you had a joint public agency they could have a meeting by telephone call if they met the criteria in the bill. Those are the changes that are associated with LB 87. The changes that are associated with LB 881 are very technical in nature and I can't even tell you for certain that they're needed now due to the fact that we've adopted, as part of the appropriations package, bills that affect this area. At any rate, if you remember, as a part of LB 881 there was a provision that said any excess budgeted amounts over the amount finally determined to be necessary for state aid to schools would be transferred to a fund. That fund was part of the buy-down on the dime drop. I think now we've even removed the \$35 million that we were holding in reserve in that fund to the community college distribution formula, so we're not even sure it's necessary. But, at any rate, when we did that language we missed a portion of that that was necessary. It was \$2 million that was associated with reorganization incentives. That should have