

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

March 15, 2000 LB 1399

it's a matter that will ultimately have to be considered, but I think within the time constraints that you have I think it probably would...would burden the committee to accomplish that, so I would have no objection to that part of it being removed.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Bohlke, did you want the rest of your time?

SENATOR BOHLKE: Thank you. Well, that will have to come later because this is an amendment, but we will bring that later and a chance to...I think you could go ahead and support Senator Pederson's amendment at this point and know that we will come back with an amendment then to take out the facilities portion. Thank you.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Bohlke. Senator Chambers, you're recognized to speak on the Pederson amendment.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Mr. President, members of the Legislature, I would like to ask Senator Don Pederson a question.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Pederson, would you respond?

SENATOR D. PEDERSON: Yes, sir.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Senator Pederson, precisely what does your amendment do?

SENATOR D. PEDERSON: Well, there are six items that are to be considered by this committee and I've added a seventh item that they should consider. That item basically would say that if the state is going to substantially increase the amount of money that it pays for teachers directly that they should review the status of the state's involvement in the operation of the various school systems.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Thank you. That's what I thought, but the discussion went a little farther afield. And that's something I've been talking about when we...when we discuss these property tax bills. I think the state ought to take control of education in this state. The state should control it. The one that pays the money has the control and there's no need in these