

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office

March 14, 2000 LB 1217

counted against my time, but I'd like to make that request now.

**PRESIDENT MAURSTAD:** The question is, shall the house go under call? Those in favor vote aye, those opposed nay. Mr. Clerk, please record.

**CLERK:** 14 ayes, 0 nays, Mr. President, to place the house under call.

**PRESIDENT MAURSTAD:** The house is under call. Would unauthorized personnel please leave the floor. Would members please return to the Chamber and record your presence. Senator Chambers.

**SENATOR CHAMBERS:** Thank you, Mr. President. Members of the Legislature, here's what I'm proposing, since there was no bill introduced, there was no public hearing, there were not the opportunities for the public to be made aware of this new policy departure as we always do when we offer a bill, I'm suggesting that my amendment be adopted which would take away the funding for this camp. Then, if the Appropriations Committee feels that they can make a compelling case, let them make that case to put it back into the bill. I had to offer amendments, because not many people were speaking on the bill. The speaking that Senator Don Pederson did was largely in response to questions that I asked. There was not a lot of discussion on this proposal. I had no way of knowing what the attitude of anybody is on the bill, with a few exceptions. So I am requesting that my amendment be adopted. And, if it is, then I would want whoever is the repository of all this information that the Appropriations Committee has to share that information with me, and anybody else who is interested should be able to get it. But I do not believe that this important item has been handled with the care that is necessary. All I can do now is see what the vote is. But this bill is on General File. There is a lot of time for additional consideration of this issue. There would be plenty of time for the Appropriations Committee to enlighten all of us, or those of us who are interested in having that information. But I think it is not, I hesitate to use the word "fair", because you would say, fair to whom? But I'm going to use it anyway, it is not fair to have a proposition like this put before us, then the one who is strongly against it, without