

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office

March 14, 2000 LB 1217

and, to my knowledge, those meetings were not...the Brown School had not accepted that and had refused to meet with them. And that kind of concerns me because our social service fabric, dealing with juveniles in crisis, is quite extensive in this state. There is much need everywhere. And for an organization that's, you know, from outside the state, private, to come in and not even want to dialogue with some of the organizations that serve our youth is a concern of me. I certainly would like to see a full-fledged hearing regarding this concept and with this organization at some point in time. I think that would have been preferable, at least speaking for only myself. I would be more comfortable supporting this had that system taken place, had the process taken place and a hearing be scheduled for that. So I'm really, at this point, am going to probably support the Chambers attempt here to take it out of the budget unless I, you know, I hear more about it and it changes my mind. But it seems to me that we should have had a hearing and that the complexity of the debate and the divergency of opinions and the questions, you know, Senator Preister asked and others certainly begs that there should have been a hearing, they should have gone through the full-blown process. If a member has a good explanation of why the hearing process was not used for this concept I'd certainly love to hear the explanation for that, but at this time I think that the wisest course would be to no longer pursue this. Thank you.

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: Thank you, Senator Hilgert. Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Mr. President, members of the Legislature, what I propose by my amendment is to take the money away; I don't think the camp is wise. We ought to take it away, then let those on the...in the...on the Appropriations Committee, who are convinced that it's a good idea, that it is sound policy, persuade us to put it back in. There is not going to be a hearing on this, there is no way. Well, yeah, like Senator Hilgert said, there could be one next year, and it could be done the proper way. But if this didn't go, then we would be in a position where there would be a necessity to do something about Kearney. So far I'm not aware of anything being done this year about that situation. And there need not be anything done if the Legislature is content each year or every two years to say,