

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office

March 14, 2000 LB 1217

done something that could cause them to go back to Kearney or Geneva, to have a way to step in that would not be as intensive as sending them back to a facility, but could be an immediate response to whatever kind of problem had led them to have been out of compliance with their parole. Our problem with that proposal, although it had, obviously, some merit, was that we didn't feel like it was fully fleshed out enough. But in some ways, as this discussion is beginning to show us, I'm not certain that...and parole revocation was one of the...one of the priorities in the Master Plan, but I'm not certain that anything that we have in front of us is totally fleshed out and, as some of Senator Raikes' questions indicate, you know, there are still issues that are going to be out there in Geneva. And so where here we are directing the money, with parole revocation we would have been dealing with both boys and girls, here we're dealing just with the female population. But there are still going to be issues out there even if we begin construction of this facility and that was the dilemma that the Appropriations Committee faced in dealing with all of these juvenile issues, juvenile justice issues, and I'm not certain, even...even though I agree with Senator Crosby that...that I have confidence that...in Mark Martin and that division within HHS, I'm not certain that we...that we're still totally sure of exactly where we're going. We made some decisions because we had those things in front of us to make decisions about, but it's...this area is not an easy area and I, too, would prefer that we were spending money on young children before they get into the situation where we have to throw money at them this way. Thank you.

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: Senator Chambers, on the Thompson amendment.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Mr. President and members of the Legislature, Senator Crosby reminded me of those days that she and I, and others, that we worked very closely together on ADC and related problems, prenatal care, help for these young pregnant women and how difficult it was, and Senator Crosby would even make appeals similar to this--my pro-life friends, where are you on these bills? You could always get enough votes from this Legislature to pass an anti-abortion bill, I don't care what form it took, but those were the same senators who would not support these programs that would help young women who wanted to carry