

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

March 14, 2000 LB 1217

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: Thank you, Senator Chambers. Senator Thompson.

SENATOR THOMPSON: Thank you, Mr. Speaker, members of the body. Just to answer some of the questions of Senator Raikes', most of the \$1.7 million, and I'd be happy to get a copy to you, deals with things like...I'm just going to read them: in the food service, add an additional fire extinguisher cabinet, \$600; upgrade light levels throughout the building, \$16,800; in the chapel remodel back exit doors to comply with ADA, \$12,000; indoor air quality upgrade, \$42,000; the well pump house needs an additional fire extinguisher for \$600. It's a lot of small projects like that. I would rather, when we have a lump of...sum like this, take it for a major construction purpose and let this be part of regular budgeting for maintenance, rather than divert this whole amount to deal with these smaller projects which, to me, should be part of a regular budget. The other thing I want to address is the fire safety issues and I'm pleased that those have been brought up because we clearly have to go forward with those. Here's what the plan says. Currently, applicable building and life safety codes require special requirements for I occupancy, and I don't know what all this is, UBC one to three, where personal liberties of inmates are restrained. It appears that the local fire marshal is currently exempting these housing units from full compliance of these requirements at the two campuses, and I'm just going to drop down to some of the A, B, C, D's. A, fire resistive construction: all eight housing units on both campuses fail to comply. Automatic fire protection system: all buildings except Paul Dunbar after renovation, which was the money that was appropriated last year, failed to comply. Capital construction is funding...funding is scheduled to correct this in the three cottages at Geneva only. C, at least two smoke evacuation zones: all eight housing units on both campuses fail to comply. And it goes on to the next page and, in the interest of time, I won't go into all of it. Clearly, this is just a first step. A lot needs to be done at these facilities. I think we should take this first initial funds and get started with this priority building. I'll be back next year to try to get the Facility Master Plan implemented so we do address all the other issues, but this is a matter of what can we use the \$2.2 million. Rather than delay a year, get going with the building that we