

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

March 14, 2000 LB 1348

you're going to buy it by the pound. And so that's...this amendment just puts it back on anhydrous, which is one thing that's probably right. Because the 28 to 32 percent, you're buying a lot of water, and you're buying it by the ton and you pay the fertilizer tax by the ton. So I just bought a lot of anhydrous myself and I was hoping that this would go off before we get ready to use it, but supposing we can get this voted down, because I don't think we want to do this and start all over again. Talk about personal property tax just a minute, I was in that battle, too, when we got it off of breeding livestock. But I still pay personal property tax on my machinery and equipment. To my surprise, I went to a farm sale, this fall, and I bought a horse, a gelding, for one of the boys. And they said, do you want to put that on the personal property tax, or do you want to pay sales tax on it? And I was kind of floored, I didn't realize I was supposed to pay personal property tax on that horse, but they did, they put it on my personal property tax. So I guess that's something that I don't quite understand yet, because I understand breeding livestock came off, but I didn't think this gelding was breeding livestock. So anyway...(laughter)...anyway, I'm opposed to this here fertilizer tax being put back on, but I just want to clear that up on the personal property tax, too. Thank you.

SPEAKER KRISTENSEN: Senator Preister.

SENATOR PREISTER: Thank you, Honorable President. Senator Jones, probably the reason that the horse was still on the tax rolls is because it obviously isn't breeding livestock, being a gelding. (Laugh) I rise in support of Senator Beutler's initiative. I think it is important that we continue to understand that there is a problem with nitrate contamination and that there needs to be a method to address that problem. It certainly seems to me that to collect tax on a product that's creating the problem and that same tax then staying at the local level to address the problem is the most appropriate solution. I support that concept. I had a similar concept that was questioned of me in the Emergency Preparedness and Community Right To Know funding that I proposed this session. And I was pleased that Senator Wehrbein and Senator Vrtiska questioned the funding coming from General Funds and were concerned that that funding should come from those people who created the problems,