

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

March 14, 2000 LB 1348

all...we're...part of it is carrier that really doesn't add anything, and yet we're taxing on the gross amount. That's something I could never understand. We discussed that a couple, three years ago and tried to get that changed because there's some unfairness there when you're talking about the gross tonnage and you're paying the tax on the gross tonnage rather than the exact amount of chemical or fertilizer you're putting on the ground. I think that, to me, is one of the most unfair parts of the bill that was...has been in place a long time. And I guess I was glad to see it sunset, simply because we were never able to correct that inequity that was...that was presented in the bill when it...when the taxes were being administered. So I think that doing away with it is the right thing to do, since it was agreed to sunset the bill. And if we ever bring a bill like this back, I think we should...we should undertake to make it equitable in every instance, which obviously we haven't been doing, the way it's been administered in the past. So again I'm going to oppose the amendment, based on the fact that basically a deal is a deal, and we made a deal, and I think we should stay with our commitment. With that, I thank you for the time.

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: Thank you, Senator Vrtiska. Senator Schrock, on the Beutler amendment.

SENATOR SCHROCK: Mr. Lieutenant Governor, members of the Legislature, the Natural Resources Committee heard two bills this year which should have addressed the funding for the clean water programs that the natural resource districts are administrating. One would have said that if the natural resource district was willing to raise money, the state would match it on a 50-50 deal. The other one would have said that we would tax anhydrous ammonia, which I believe is probably the worst culprit when it comes to fertilizer contamination, only, we'd only tax that particular product. Now that's the one that, for those of you who don't know what anhydrous ammonia is, that's the one that runs around in the compressed tanks that's under pressure. And it is somewhat dangerous to handle, if you don't know what you're doing, it's one you wear goggles and plastic gloves when you're handling the hoses and so on and so forth. And I thought maybe that would be a good alternative, just to tax anhydrous ammonia only. Well we did leave the one