

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

March 13, 2000 LB 1214

our base out of taking the \$10 million from property tax relief. But we are going to be building our base and that's a very serious concern of ours. But it's highly unusual, if you look at the top two lines, the Medicaid deficit and the...actually, the third line, public assistant (sic--assistance) child care, those two total \$56 million, really an unheard of number in a deficit year, \$56 million in those two issues.

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: Time. Thank you, Senator Wehrbein. Further debate on the committee amendments, Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Mr. President, members of the Legislature, I'm still struggling. I'd like to ask Senator Wehrbein a question.

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: Senator Wehrbein.

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: Okay.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Senator Wehrbein, I'm aware that the original Section 77-5304 is the one where you set up this property tax relief fund and it goes out...this is the last year I think where a specific dollar amount is appropriated into this fund. Then the next three years or whatever it is would come from excess revenues, whatever amount that would turn out to be. If all of 77-5304 were to be repealed and then, on page 5, subsection (13), which refers back to the appropriating of a certain amount of money into that fund, what would really happen other than that...this supposed property tax relief would go away?

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: Would you repeat it so...the last...I think I understood.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Okay. If...if this repealer were to be undertaken that I'm suggesting, other than the fact that there would be no tax relief, property tax relief fund, what else would happen?

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: Well, nothing would happen. The Cash Reserve Fund would be \$35 million higher than it is projected to be.