

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office

March 13, 2000 LB 1107

SENATOR KREMER: Okay, I understand that. I guess I'm assuming after the \$1...the \$1 tax...or the \$1 levy limit, from that point on then property tax would continue to increase somewhat until...until 2006, and at that time sales and income tax would also increase. But we...it looks like in here that all the increase is going to come from sales and income tax, but there also is going to be an increase from property tax at the same proportion, because it's a percentage. I just wanted to bring that out, so thank you.

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: Thank you, Senator Kremer. Senator Tyson.

SENATOR TYSON: Thank you, Mr. President. Members of the body, several people have asked why I voted against Senator "Revenue's" amendment to 2766. And the reason is very simple. Senator Wickersham's amendment would drop the 47 to 46. And I worked it out, I got a couple of math books and I found out that 46 is larger than 45, and so is 47. So I'm going to vote against the Raikes amendment also. I am going to vote my constituents' interest. A Senator, and I forget his name, but he...as I recall, he represented District 11, two years ago, made a speech here which evidently no one was listening to except me. And he pointed out at that time that there were, I forget the exact number, the exact number now is 28 senators from nonurban addresses. But as this Senator from District 11 pointed out, if we don't act in concert, we will not be successful. I think he stole that from Benjamin Franklin who said, if we don't hang together, we will all hang separately. But the thing of it is we have an interest here, and that interest represents what is best for our constituents, which is why I urge a vote against the Raikes amendment, as I voted against the Wickersham amendment, so that we can come to the bill as it was introduced, which is at 45 percent of local effort. That is in my constituents' interest, and they expect me to vote that way, and I'm not going to disappoint them. And I urge that other people from nonurban addresses not disappoint their constituents either. But that's up to you. My decision is that the original bill is what we should have, and that's how I'm going to vote. Thank you very much.

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: Thank you, Senator Tyson. On the Raikes amendment, Senator Chambers.