

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

March 10, 2000 LB 1107

year, as I understand it, and I should ask Senator Wehrbein, perhaps, to nod his head yes, if I'm right, if I recall, we spent about \$29 million less on state aid to schools than we anticipated because of the increasing property values that have occurred. Is that right, Senator Wehrbein? He's shaking his head yes. Okay. That exposes the...one of the major faults, in my view, in LB 1114. When I read LB 1107, and as I thought I understood LB 1107, I thought that LB 1107 brings a measure of...it is a governor, it is a throttle, if you will, to some extent on how much property tax can accelerate to provide state aid to schools and produce this surplus of sales and income tax, like we say the \$29 million this year. Now, I happen to think that that is good policy. I happen to probably think that 45 percent is higher than I'd like to be for reliance upon property, and that's just the way I feel about it. I have a lot of homeowners in my district on social security who I have heard from. I don't have a lot of big farmers in my district. My district is primarily smaller farmers and homeowners. And they feel very strongly, on their limited incomes and their fixed incomes, that paying the amount of support to schools, which they dearly love their schools, is a terrible burden. And this isn't going to eliminate that burden to any great extent, but it certainly is going to help bring some...a throttle or a control or a reasonableness to the property tax issue as it relates to schools. We make a policy decision, a conscious decision that 45 percent...

SENATOR JANSSEN: One minute.

SENATOR BROMM: ...is an appropriate level of property tax support for K through 12 education. Now, if you feel that should be 50, or 40, or whatever you think is right, I would like to hear it. But I see nothing wrong with making a conscious policy that we can defend and that is a hole in LB 1114. If we were serious about LB 1114, because if LB 1114 would have been totally effective, I don't think we would have had the increase in property taxes in some areas that we had this year. And some of those areas are in my district, I can tell you that. So I am supportive of this bill. I do not understand, and I'm going to listen more, the argument that this is not...how this doesn't go to the equalized school districts,...