

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

March 10, 2000 LB 1107

body. The amendment that I'm bringing to you does a couple of different things. Two of them I would characterize as technical. One of them I would characterize as substantive. The two technical provisions are, one, a provision that is representative of the administration brought to me. There is currently a process in place that says that annually there's a meeting of a group to estimate the amount of state aid that will be expended or budgeted for in the coming year. Currently, they estimate only for one year. The proposal is to have that group meet in an even-numbered year before November 15th and provide an estimate of the necessary funding levels for the following two school fiscal years, and then in the odd-numbered years again provide an estimate. So the only change in that provision is to make explicit a provision for a two-year estimate in the even-numbered years. Conceivably, the group could meet and make that kind of an estimate with or without the amendment, but the amendment would give them explicit direction to do that. The other part of the amendment that I would characterize as technical is a provision that says that the...the local effort rate that is calculated pursuant to the bill would be calculated to no more than seven decimal places and then rounded back to six. That is necessary so that we can have an appropriate number of decimal places in the maximum levy that would be allowed if the bill passes. We have restrictions in computers and other programs and equipment that are currently used by counties as they set and implement levies. The maximum that they can accommodate is six decimal places, so it is necessary to have that amendment to accommodate ourselves to their programming. The other amendment is substantive. That is a reduction in the gap that would be allowed for the minimum levy penalty, or the minimum levy effort that would be required, from five cents to two cents so that if the amendment is adopted schools could only go below the local effort yield rate by two cents rather than five cents, as provided by the bill introduced, or suffer the minimum levy penalty as a part of their state aid. That is designed to assure ourselves that we're not unduly using state aid dollars for assistance to districts that could levy and support the level of spending with those dollars, and that we would have schools that, if they needed to expend at the local effort rate, that they would do that. That's the...those are the three provisions in the amendment. As I have indicated, I think two of them are