

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office

March 10, 2000

LB 1317

SENATOR BEUTLER: But I'm exploring the dimensions of giving information to other public entities, which is what we're talking about here, which is DWV (sic--DMV) giving information to other public agencies. If it were narrowly drawn to law enforcement agencies that would make me much more comfortable, but that's a broader kind of amendment.

SENATOR BROMM: Senator Beutler, and I guess this is on your time but I've got my light on too so I'll be glad to share that with you as well. But it's not that I am against your amendment. I...I don't know for sure that I am understanding if it has any ramifications or not, and that's what makes me, at this point in the discussion, a little uncomfortable with knowing which way to go with it, and I kind of wish we had more time to study it, to tell you the truth. If...

SENATOR BEUTLER: Well, I don't...I don't think it's large enough or important enough to either lay over the bill or to have...or to try to impose the amendment on the bill. So if you're not comfortable with it, Senator, I'll just withdraw it. I just thought it might add an element of additional privacy that would...that seemed very appropriate to me.

SENATOR BROMM: Well, and I would say that...that if...if I thought that the DMV or that kind of entity were furnishing this information for marketing or solicitation purposes I'd want to do something to stop that just as much as you would. And...

SENATOR BEUTLER: Yeah.

SENATOR BROMM: ...and I would ask whether or not perhaps at this point whether maybe you would consider withdrawing the amendment and we'll investigate that further and come back. We can come back on Final Reading, if it's necessary, or we can bring a bill next year, if you would like to.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Yeah. I think that's appropriate. The matter is to your attention now and whatever you can do to flesh that out would be...

SENATOR BROMM: Okay.