

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office

March 10, 2000 LB 1317

have a community survey to ascertain certain things and make that part of your application or your information that you back up your grant application with. Should...should they be able, and I don't know if this is where they would get their mailing list or not, I'm not sure about that, but should they not be able to do that I agree with you 100 percent. I can't think of any reason they should sell it. They should never be able to sell that information for that purpose, in my view. They shouldn't be able to use it for marketing either. But a survey, if a local government agency wants to do a survey, should that...is that a...is that wrong to use that information for that purpose?

SENATOR BEUTLER: Well, if a private entity wanted to do a survey for its own purposes why is that wrong? I suppose it's wrong because they didn't consent to the use of their name.

SENATOR BROMM: Yeah.

SENATOR BEUTLER: It's a privacy matter. I...in thinking about it, it seemed to me that the same logic and the same desire to protect privacy should pertain to the local political subdivision...

SENATOR BROMM: Well, except that...

SENATOR BEUTLER: ...with respect...

SENATOR BROMM: Well, I see two differences. One, the government...the government already has their name and their address. And this is limited to government functions as opposed to private motives or for-profit motives, and the private entity doesn't have their name or address, theoretically. But...but, for example, the utility department...

SENATOR CROSBY: One minute.

SENATOR BROMM: ...of the city of Wahoo would have everyone's name and address that is hooked up to utilities, so that would be a perfect list for them to use to do a survey. They don't...they don't have to seek that elsewhere. I'm not...I like a lot of what you're telling me your intent is with this