

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

March 9, 2000

LB 1018

were asking was a price that no one would ever pay in order to circumvent the law. I think that's the way they'd have to approach it. Now maybe this language doesn't describe that process properly. But, if you follow me, that's what the intent is.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: I understand your intent. But I'm looking at how this can be applied, and it's being applied by those who have an interest in defeating a manufacturer or a distributor in terms of having this franchise or an interest in it, beyond that grace period plus the extension.

SENATOR BROMM: Right, right.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: It also says that they'd have to establish good cause for being allowed to keep it. But since we know that the one who is going to make a judgment about the...

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...good cause is against them in the first place, it can hardly be said to be an impartial board. Suppose we set up some kind of machinery where an impartial body would make the decision, the determination, if one of these disputes arose? And I don't mean you have to commit to something right now, but I'm trying to figure how we can get out from under leaving it this open-ended, when the board who wants to keep the manufacturer and distributor out anyway is going to make the decision, and there is no guideline whatsoever in terms of establishing what is reasonable. Do you at least see the issue that I'm raising?

SENATOR BROMM: I do, and I'm trying to think about it, and I...

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Okay.

SENATOR BROMM: ...I don't have an answer at this moment, but...

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Okay. And since we're discussing on Senator Kremer's amendment, and I don't have any further interest in that, I thought I better use this opportunity, while I had it,...