

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office

March 9, 2000

LB 510

SENATOR BROMM: ...but I just think, for me, this is the right way to proceed with selecting this position. Thanks.

SENATOR CROSBY: Thank you, Senator Bromm. (Visitors introduced.) Senator Tyson, on the motion.

SENATOR TYSON: (Microphone malfunction)...President, members of the body, I'm going to make a few points and I will try to be as brief as some of the preceding speakers, whose opinions I respect. Speaking on this same issue before, I drew the comparison between two very well-known attorneys, both of them very, very fine attorneys. One was William Kunstler, who is now deceased. He had a long record of recognizing unpopular causes, of defending them, of defending people that he thought were victims of any number of governmental units, and he was of known liberal persuasion. On the other hand, we have a man, former Judge Bork, who was very, very conservative. I use these two examples because everyone has a political philosophy. The identification of party, not necessarily but in many cases, identifies that philosophy and I would submit to you that this is a very vital function in elections. Because of the press of time and the cost of elections, a lot of this is reduced to sound bytes--what can you say in 15 seconds. It also goes to the matter of the structure of the candidate. In other words, how is he funded? Politics is increasingly an expensive game to play. The party structure assists all candidates and, whether they are Independent, nonpartisan, Republican, Democrat, whatever, people elected to this body have received substantial help from their party, be it Republican or Democratic, and this I would submit to you is a good thing. Because when you run for office, especially the first time, you're pretty much at sea as to what do you do, how do you do it, where do you go for guidance, where do you go for help and money. The party helps those and if you will read your press every day, and I know that everyone here reads one or two newspapers a day, we are identified many, many times, not always but many times, by the press as Senator Chambers, the Independent; Gene Tyson, the Republican; Senator Schimek, the Democrat; or reference is made to party background and, here again, as an identifier. I would submit that for these reasons we should not support the consideration and, while the handout that Senator Chambers gave