

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

March 9, 2000

LB 171

ethanol. And should we in fact put a credit or a...for that? I mean, as I thought about this last night, after this bill was up, I got to thinking about all the mandates that we seem to be under in this state anymore, and as a matter of fact, in this country as a whole. And I'm thinking, are we going down the road that, every time somebody is required to do something, we have to reimburse them through a tax credit or a tax incentive? I'd just like to get your response, if I could. I suspect it will be fair and I'm willing to listen.

SPEAKER KRISTENSEN: Thank you, Senator Vrtiska. And you have been fair. I think you have to look at it on a case-by-case basis of the scope of the mandate. The mandate for the ethanol, for example, doesn't require that you're going to have to buy a new type of car to run that fuel. The new...the seat belts, those cars have had those for years, and the cost of putting them into there was minimal. This is a huge mandate that requires a really...change in the way they do their fundamental business. To me it would be like if you're going to tell the truckers that you can't use diesel engines anymore, you need to run batteries. That would be a huge change. It...the trouble is there are just not that many local broadcasters to go out and complain. And I...I...Senator Landis has raised it, Senator Wickersham has raised it, Senator Raikes has raised it, what about the policy? What...Senator Beutler raised it. What about the precedent of doing this? Isn't this not a good precedent to set? And my response to that is that we look at every one of these on a case-by-case basis. We don't blanket say, look, we're going to uniformly give an exemption every time something happens. I think the case is here. I don't think anybody is disputing the case that this isn't a huge change for the industry. But the local broadcasters have no control over doing it, that's the reason it makes a difference to me. I understand there could be reasonable minds that would differ with that,...

SENATOR CROSBY: One minute.

SPEAKER KRISTENSEN: ...but to me it's a big change.

SENATOR VRTISKA: Well, I accept your argument. But the only response I have is you say a case-by-case...case-by-case evaluation of each issue. The problem that I see with that is