

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

March 8, 2000

LB 1004

are repeat offenders is good, then it's probably good all the time. Why cannot it be uniform, and on General File we heard discussion that, well, it might be too expensive, and then there was some assurance given. In fact, I had a thank you card that says: Dear John: I want to thank you for your vote on my priority bill, LB 1004, pertaining to out-of-state DUI, refusal to submit to chemical test convictions. By the time we discuss this on Select File, I'm confident all the concerns will be addressed. And maybe I've failed. Maybe I didn't read Senator Chambers' amendment after he filed it to go through it with a fine-toothed comb to see that this question was addressed or not. I found out today when the bill came up that it was not addressed. That's where it stands. I did not practice due diligence in tracking this? Perhaps. I'll take that but all I am saying is today, here and now, it still has yet to be addressed, and I still raise the concern. Thank you.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Hilgert. Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Mr. President and members of the Legislature, if there is not going to be a check in every case, how then is the decision made whom to check? That's is what Senator Hilgert is trying to get across. If you're not going to apply the law across the board, that means you're going to do it selectively, and you're more likely to select somebody who is not like you, somebody you may not like, somebody you think is most likely to have done something along this line, or somebody who you just think ought to be punished. So you pick and you choose. If, as Senator Hilgert pointed out, the goal is to get all these drunken drivers who have been doing this in other places, why not check all of them? Why not? I'd like to ask Senator Engel a question.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Would you respond, Senator Engel.

SENATOR ENGEL: Yes, I will.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Senator Engel, this is not philosophical. How is a prosecutor to determine which ones to check and which ones not to check because he is not going to check all drunk drivers' records in other states?