

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

March 8, 2000

LB 1004

there is no central repository. So some people get away and others don't. I don't know if any city conviction or village conviction goes on some national computer. I don't know if every state would have that, but I believe it would be easier to contact the state court system and make a determination than it would be to canvass all the cities and villages in a given state to find out what has happened in their courts. Oh, that's right, it's my time. Did you need to ask me any more on that, Senator Bromm? Okay. Members of the Legislature, I'm not trying to weaken the bill. Look how much you will still be given. Right now, you can't use the conviction in other states under that state's law. If a situation developed where we can be shown the methodology that would be employed to ferret out these convictions under city ordinances and village ordinances, then I would have a different opinion. I'm just speaking from the way things generally go where you are talking about city and village convictions. If you do not have an Appeals Court, which is required to publish its decisions, you don't even know what happens in appeals in another state. All of the State Supreme Courts publish...

SENATOR CUDABACK PRESIDING

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...their reports, so you can comb through those reports, if you have to, to see drunk driving convictions and who the people were involved, if you're going to do a manual search. I don't know if that kind of stuff is on computers based on the names of the individuals in all of the cases, but it would be much easier to do it where the state prosecutions are involved than violations of city ordinances and village ordinances. I say there should be no pride of authorship in this bill and I really mean that. They are not giving up that much with my amendment but I think it does help the bill. And if you don't adopt this amendment, you're putting a very heavy burden on the courts, and with our new Equal Rights Amendment to the constitution, they can't say, well, because it is difficult, we're not going to pursue it on this individual, but this similarly situated individual we'll pursue it against...

SENATOR CUDABACK: Time.