

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

March 8, 2000

LB 1004

convictions for driving under the influence in the prior 12 years. The next place would be Section 1(2)(b) found on pages 3 and 4. That would be where the person being tried at this point had 1 conviction in the prior 12 years. Section 1(2)(c) on page 4 would deal with a person who had 2 convictions in the prior 12 years. Section 1, subsection (3)...oh, let me go back (2)(d) on pages 5 and 6 would be the person who had 3 or more such convictions in the prior 12 years. Section 1, subsection (3) on page 7 is a little different. It relates to the court making findings on the record relative to the number of prior offenses of such an individual and it also makes a provision for the rights of the defendant prior to sentencing to challenge or protest prior convictions, to offer mitigating circumstances, and you can read that language although I'll discuss it more as I proceed. The sixth place it occurs would be Section 1, subsection (4) on pages 7 and 8. That's where you compute the 12-year period, the period preceding the current situation of the individual who's being tried. The second part of the amendment almost mirrors the first that I just read except it deals not with driving under the influence and convictions for that, but refusal to take that chemical test--the blood, urine, and breath. Section 2(4)(a) on page 11 would deal with a person who had no such convictions in the prior 12 years. Section 2(4)(b) on pages 12 and 13 would deal with the person who had 1 such conviction in the prior 12 years; Section 2(4)(c) on pages 13 and 14, the person who had 2 convictions in the prior 12 years; Section 2(4)(d) on pages 15 and 16 would be the person who had 3 or more convictions for refusal to take the test. Then, in Section 2, subsection (5) on page 17, the court would have to make findings on the record relative to prior convictions for refusal to take the test in the same way it would have to make them for driving under the influence, and the defendant's rights prior to sentencing are listed with reference to this offense also. Section 2, subsection (6) on pages 17 and 18 relate to how the 12-year period is computed. Probably nobody followed that and I can understand it, but if anybody looks at the legislative history of this bill I want such a person to be able to locate the points at which this amendatory language occurred. Mr. President, how much time do I have remaining?

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: Three minutes and twelve seconds.