

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

March 8, 2000

LB 171

totally unsympathetic to individuals, although I believe that some of these fees that are being charged by phone companies could be absorbed by them and they could provide these services and make a handsome profit anyway. So we're in that realm where fees, mandates, charges for advertising will come into play, and I'm not in favor of our carving out this small group of entrepreneurs and giving them that which is not given to any other group similarly situated, except they deal in a different product. There are businesses, large and small, which fold every day in this country, and there are some of those businesses that fold every year in this state. There are businesses that fold in Omaha every year, and we don't say we're going to rescue all of them. And this might be a stretch what I'm saying but these people in broadcasting are given a privilege that the rest of us do not have. The only other industry we're going to come close to protecting like that are the car dealers, and I'm going to resist that. Why should we single out different people who are making money and say some are more entitled to make money through a guarantee by the state than others. I'd venture to say that those of you who send out surveys to your constituents could ask that question only to those who are operating businesses and the question would be this--do you favor getting a refund for every, whatever these taxes are, whatever type or variety, that you have paid to the state in the previous year and for the next three years? And I bet that's one survey you would get 100 percent "yes" answers on. They all want it, but they cannot all have it. I'm going to be curious to see how the vote on this bill goes. It may be a difficult vote for some because there are broadcasters in their areas who would benefit...

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: One minute.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...from the bill. Maybe some of the broadcasters in my area would benefit from it. I'm not going to have a part to play in them getting that benefit though. You see, when I watch television, when I listen to the radio I don't hear or see a great amount being sent over the airwaves that is really that beneficial to the public, but I'm not in favor of the government doing anything to unduly restrict what they can send out. But I am also not in favor of the state giving a subsidy of this kind in order that these businesses can do that