

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

March 8, 2000

LB 171

used to be, and so we've had to learn to deal in other ways. Well, Senator Bohlke, the truth is a very horrible thing sometimes, but what the committee has done has been very, very good, and perhaps I think you'll see this in other exemptions as you come along. What they've said is, look, we're going to be a lot narrower with this exemption, and if this is what you want to do, this is...this is how you're going to go about doing it. One is that you're going to have to pay the tax up front, because when we do an exemption you don't have any idea how much revenue you're losing and so, in the past, we guess. We're saying, you know, if we had that sales tax exemption back, and remember, and Senator Landis well knows this one, we were talking about personal property tax in the early nineties. We were trying to guess, if we'd bring all those exemptions back, how much they were, and we could never get a handle on that because you really don't know. You don't know how much is sold. So...but we...we still did some of those exemptions and we do them every year. Now we do them narrower and some are larger and we've retreated. We've had exemptions that have come and gone. But the first thing the Revenue Committee did, and it's very good, is that they say, look, you're...we're going to make this into a refund; you pay the tax in, that way we know what we're losing, and you make a refund back. But it's even better than that because if they're going to ask for the refund, as Senator Wickersham said, when you got the original exemption it's whoever you bought the property from. If you could say and convince them that, look, this property qualified to meet this federal mandate, whoever sold it to you would say, well, I...I mean I don't know the business that well; I'm...I just have to take your word for it; you're more likely to get an exemption. Second way of narrowing it is what the Revenue Committee did; was to say, look, you've got to go through a two-prong test. One, it's got to be directly and primarily, not just occasional, directly and primarily used for digital broadcast signals and you're going to have to convince a third party who does know the facts, and that's the Department of Revenue. That's a new way of doing an exemption. Which means you just don't get to go snow whoever you purchased the property from. You have to go to the Department of Revenue and show that you've complied with the Federal Telecommunications Act of '96--tougher, much tougher standard. So we don't get this, oh, well, we'll just overlook it instead of...of comply. Because these wholesalers may be or