

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

March 8, 2000

LB 628

requester of that, you are in com...the custodian of the records will be in compliance. And I would suggest to you that, respectfully, that that might be a better solution than picking an arbitrary 5 days, because it may, in fact, require 15 in order to fulfill the request. And all that the custodian would have to do then is within 3 days advise the requester, I cannot comply within 3, it will take 15, and I will provide you the copies within 15, and that's compliance.

SENATOR JONES: Thank you, Senator, and I think that answers my question. And I can see there's probably more leeway in the way you stated it than the way this letter wants it, five days. Thank you.

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: Thank you, Senator Jones. Senator Chambers on the Brashear amendment.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Mr. President, members of the Legislature, I've looked at this amendment at a little greater depth, and something about it does not strike me the right way. Senator Brashear, I assure you this has nothing to do with who prioritized the bill. But this is quite a change in the law in terms of how these records are to be handled. And when Senator Brashear mentioned multiday, multithousands of copies, then it lets you know the possible magnitude of what we're looking at. Most of us, as I would tend to do were I not so cynical, skeptical and questioning, would think of this bill only in terms of an individual citizen wanting to come in and get a copy of a record. But there is much more at stake here, obviously. For us to change the law to this extent, there are some interests who want this, and they cannot get what they want. So I'm going to ask Senator Brashear another question or two, if he will yield.

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: Senator Brashear.

SENATOR BRASHEAR: Yes, Mr. President.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Senator Brashear, who primarily is the driving force behind this amendment, if there is such a person, group or entity, if you know?