

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

March 8, 2000

LB 628

SENATOR BRASHEAR: Senator Chambers, the language you're referring to relates to the requester asking the custodian to permit the requester, because it is in the requester's interest or at their option to utilize their own means of copying. It's not a requirement, it's an option.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: I understand. What would happen? The records would be turned over to this requester who would then transport these records to wherever the copies are to be made?

SENATOR BRASHEAR: No, the amendment is clarifying that. It must be made on the premises of the custodian or at a location mutually agreed upon between the custodian and the requester.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: So the custodian would bring copying equipment to the premises or take, somebody said up here, meet them at Kinko's, meet the custodian at Kinko's.

SENATOR BRASHEAR: There may be a meeting of the custodian and the requester at Kinko's if it is mutually acceptable.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Now, oh...

SENATOR BRASHEAR: But as you know, sometimes when you're doing extensive multithousand page, multiday copying tasks, the specified authorized rate, and I'm assuming here, for instance, the adoption of Senator Coordsen's amendment, then everybody is satisfied with how the rate would be char...would be determined, then you might allow the requester to find a less expensive equally convenient rate and allow them to do it simply because there is no harm, no foul, and it's less expensive for them.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Senator Brashear, while I'm on my feet, and I intend to discuss this particular matter further as the session progresses and as the day wears on, who did you say prioritized this bill?

SENATOR BRASHEAR: I acknowledged gratefully the prioritization by Senator Bruning.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Now if I am on this tear of punishing people, as it has been described, who voted in a way which displeased